INTEGRATING FEEDBACK AND PROCESS APPROACH IN TEACHING PARAGRAPHER WRITING IN EFL CONTEXT

Rita Handayani*, Yumna Rasyid, Ninuk Lustyantie

Language Education Program, Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Jakarta, Indonesia
ritahandayani.lt16s3@mahasiswa.unj.ac.id
Hp: +628197975795

ABSTRACT

This study investigated how the combination of feedback and process approach was implemented in teaching paragraph writing which focusing on the content and organisation of students’ writing and how the students’ response to it. This study employed a case study research design which used three data collection including observation, interview, and students’ text. The result showed that the provision of feedback in the process writing approach was potential to be applied in teaching writing. Most students considered the provision of feedback in process writing is very important since it can facilitate their learning difficulties, beneficial in organising their ideas and focusing their paragraph writing.
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Introduction

Competing in the industrial revolution of 4.0, higher education students should equipped themselves with some needed skills. One of them is the ability to communicate in English both spoken and written form. Unlike spoken communication, communicating using written English is not an easy skill to mastered since to produce a good piece of writing, ones must be able to
balance multiple issues as content, organization, purpose, audience, vocabulary, punctuation, and other mechanics devices and presenting them following the accepted pattern of organization.

Besides the complexity of writing, the limited time, knowledge of the topic, inadequate practice, and insufficient guidance and feedback given by writing teachers make this skill getting difficult to master. Additionally, many language writing teachers still used product approach and focus their teaching mainly on accuracy and correctness of grammar and mechanics. Students are infrequently given feedback relating to their writing content and the opportunity to revise and improve their pieces of writing. This make many EFL students get difficulties to improve the quality of their writing.

Due to those difficulties, many studies suggested process approach for improving students’ ability in writing. Process approach is believe to be appropriate method for teaching writing since it emphasized the importance of developing students’ ability to plan, identify issues and analyze and implement possible solutions (Hyland, 2003). Through this approach, students learn writing through a series of steps to refine and correct their writing rather than rely on one-shot draft (Celce-Murcia, 2001). Students do not write on a given topic in a restricted time, rather they can explore a topic through writing, reread, think about, and redrafting for new ideas (Raimes, 1983). This process is non-linear, exploratory, and generative process whereby writers discover and reformulates their ideas as they attempt to approximate meaning (Kroll, 1990). Through this approach, the students are guided to produce well-organized, adequately developed paragraphs and essays.
Many studies have been proved the effectiveness of process approach. Besides developing students’ descriptive paragraphs (Nabhan, 2017), this approach is also efficient for reducing learners’ anxieties of pre-service teachers in writing (Arici & Kaldirim, 2015); manifested the positive effect of EFL learners’ attitude toward writing skill (Mehr, 2017); and significantly enhance the writing performance of students in an overcrowded EFL writing class (Dokchandra, 2018). Even, through a comparative study, process approach ascertained to be more effective in improving students’ ability in writing compared to traditional approach and genre based approach (Hashemnezhad & Hashemnezhad, 2012).

Besides using this approach, many studies also suggested the use of feedback to improve students’ writing achievements. Feedback is defined as information that is given to the learner about his or her performance of the learning task with the objective of improving their performance (Ur, 1991). Feedback which is given at the process level is the most beneficial since it provides cues to directions for searching and strategizing which sensitize students to the competence or strategy information in a task or situation which results in higher confidence and greater investment of effort (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). To be more effective, teachers should supplement the provision of written feedback with discussion, questions and answering session; teachers should also include comments of praise and encouragement in their written feedback because positive feedback can boost students’ motivation to improve their writing skills (Srichanyachon, 2012). The discussion session will provide time for teacher-students audience so that they can negotiate the meaning for resulting a successful revision in the subsequent draft (Conrad & Goldstein, 1999).

The provision of feedback, according to both students and teachers, is very crucial in the process of learning since it can be used as a guide for students to revise and improve the quality of their
Students considered teacher as a fundamental source of feedback who give positive effect on their writing performance (Bijami, Pandian, Kaur, & Singh, 2016). Most students wanted their teachers to focus on all aspects of written texts when they provide written feedback (Omer, Mahfoodh, & Pandian, 2011) as it can help them to improve their writing (Listiani, 2017). So, it is clear that feedback is an essential part in any formal language learning context which significantly affects the students’ learning achievement.

The effectiveness of feedback has also been studied widely and various discoveries have been revealed. Ferris (2002) contends that learners benefit more from direct correction when they are at the beginning level of proficiency, when they do not have enough linguistic knowledge to self-correct; and because of its’ clarity, direct corrective feedback can guide the students to be more aware of their mistakes on language use and improve their writing (Adisca & Mardijono, 2013). Providing direct corrective feedback also brings greater impacts on students’ grammatical accuracy in writing (Farjadnasab & Khodashenas, 2017; Zareil & Rahnama, 2013).

Although some studies proved the effectiveness of direct feedback on students writing accuracy, some others studies revealed the contrast result. The study conducted by Hosseiny (2014) indicated that indirect corrective feedback on error helps the learners improve accuracy in their writing since it encourages the learner to take part in the process of repair (Hosseiny, 2014); similarly, indirect feedback strategies which focused on local errors (Jamalinesari, Rahimi, Gowhary, & Azizifar, 2015), simple past tense errors correction (Eslami, 2014), and vocabulary and spelling errors (Goksoy & Nazli, 2016) significantly influence students’ accuracy in writing.
Many studies have been proved the effectiveness of either process approach or feedback toward the students’ writing achievement. However, many earlier studies used these approach and technique separately, and used feedback mainly to improve students’ grammatical accuracy in writing. The studies on how process approach and feedback is combined for facilitating students’ difficulties in learning writing is still limited. Therefore, this study used the combination of process approach and feedback in teaching writing which focusing on the content and organisation of students’ writing. It is suggested that the writing problems of the students’ can be lightened through process approach and provision of teacher’s feedback to their written work (Gashout, 2014). So, this study was intended to describe the implementation of process approach and feedback in teaching writing and to know how the students response to it.

Materials and Methods

Setting and Participants

The study took place in one of State University in Serang Banten Indonesia. In this case, English is studied in EFL context. The participants of this study were 35 students (30 females, 5 male) from the second semester who were joining in ‘Paragraph Based Writing’ subject in academic year of 2018/2019. They were chosen through a purposive sampling technique. The study adopted qualitative approach with a case study design (Cohen, Manion, & Morrison, 2007).

Data Collection

Three instruments were used to collect the data. First, observations were utilized to see how process approach and feedback was implemented in teaching paragraph writing in which the researcher acted as a participant observer (Creswell, 2012). Second, documents analysis which was taken from the result of students’ paragraph writing tasks. And the last is interview with a
semi structured designed to know the students response toward the application of process approach and feedback in teaching writing.

Data Analysis

The analysis on the whole data was organized in such a way to get the findings interpreted precisely. The analysis was conducted by adapting Creswell’s theory (2012). It began with organizing and preparing data, followed by reading them to get general senses. Then coding the data, generating description of the whole data, and representing the description based on themes. The last step to do was interpreting data before the data were finally presented.

Validity and Reliability

The data collected from the three instruments were then validated by triangulation (Cohen, Manion, & Morrison, 2007). Triangulation was regarded crucial as an effort to make sure that the data collected from the observations, documents, and interviews were matched each other.

Result and Discussion

The implementation of process approach and feedback provision in teaching writing is implemented following the four stages of process writing (Harmer, 2007); (Langan, 2010); (Richards, Jack C. & Renandya, 2002); and (Oshima & Hogue, 2007). Relating to the research questions, the data from each source is presented under two themes: the implementation of process approach and feedback in teaching writing; and the students’ response toward it.

The Implementation of Process Approach and Feedback Provision in Teaching Writing

The implementation of process writing approach and the provision of feedback consist of a series of activities which were covered in four sessions. Each session lasted for 100 minutes.
The first session was the explanation of teaching material where the teacher gave explicit information needed in order the students were able to write a good paragraph and/or highlight the materials/part of material which still found to be problematize in students’ paragraph writing. The process writing was started at the second and the third sessions where the students were guided to follow the steps in writing their paragraph. In the last session, the students were given written feedback and follow-up by giving explanation either for the whole class or individually through written conference and then they were asked to revise again their paragraph based on the feedback given. The activities in each session is explained as follow:

The first session was an initial step in which students were introduced to the material and the classroom activities. The teacher started by motivating the students to get involved in the learning process followed by explicit teaching of paragraph, the elements of a good paragraph, and how to write an effective paragraph. The writing classroom was conducted mainly for providing overall information and explicit explanation about the elements of a good paragraph and how to write it effectively. To ensure the students understanding on the elements of a good paragraph, they were exposed to several samples of good and weak construct of paragraphs and did the analysis together before they finally asked to read some paragraphs individually and analysed its’ elements. The students, then, invited to give their opinion about those paragraphs.

The second session started by the first stage of process writing – planning/prewriting activities. The students worked in a small group and were guided to find and collect ideas relating to a chosen topic through brainstorming/making a list, clustering/mapping, or making a scratch outline. The guidance was given either for whole class, group, or personally. After finishing the planning stage, students continued to drafting process by writing their first draft individually based on their own outline. The teacher presented herself as a helpful facilitator, prompter, and
motivator who always offering support and guidance. Mitigated commentary were also used as a tool to increase student motivation, engagement, and interest. In this activity, students tried to finish writing their first draft, sharing and discussing their ideas or difficulty to both the teacher and their friends.

Moving to the third stage of the process writing, students were guided to review and revise their paragraph to make the result of their writing stronger. At this stage, the students were guided to check for the paragraph unity, the support, and the organization of their ideas using paragraph checklist provided by the lecturer. At this point, students were asked to analyse their paragraph, add the information needed, cross out unnecessary information or reorganize the ideas. The students did this activity in pair and/or in a small group so they can share the ideas on how to improve the paragraph with their peer. Besides gaining confidence, perspective, and critical thinking, this activities also built a sense of classroom community (Ferris, 2003). The students, then, write the revision based on the result of their friends’ review. After the spelling, grammar, and punctuation was edited, this second draft then submitted to the teacher for getting feedback.

The last session was, once again, the students revised their second draft based on the written feedback given by the teacher. This process is very important since the students can get the information about the strength and weaknesses of their paragraph that need to be improved (Silver & Lee, 2007). Studies in ESL writing also confirmed that teacher’s feedback is considered as a valuable tool that can be used to promote students’ revision and to foster the learning to write process (Hattie & Timperley, 2007, Hyland, 2003, Hyland & Hyland, 2006).

Before writing the revision, the teacher discussed the most common feedback written on the students draft for the whole class and continued by discussing specific feedback personally to
each student. This activities allow the student to negotiate teacher’s feedback and standing up for their ideas, so they can get better understanding on how to use the feedback in writing the revision (L. M. Goldstein, 2004). After all the written feedback were comprehended, students wrote the revision and submit the draft as their final result.

The combination of process approach and feedback as a technique in teaching writing is proved effective to facilitate students’ difficulties in learning writing. The observation result showed that students’ comprehension on how to write and organize their ideas are getting better. In prewriting stage/planning process, the students’ difficulty in formulating the topic sentence and developing the focus of their paragraph are lessen by the various learning activities done during this process. Small group activity which is used in this stage able to facilitate the student’s difficulties in discovering more ideas related to the given topic and finding relevant supports and details for developing the focus chosen for their paragraph.

In the reviewing stage, the students were assisted with peer review activity where they can help each other by correcting and sharing opinion on how to make the paragraph more coherent and improved. As Keh (2015) mentioned that peer review activity can make the students learn more about writing through critically reading others’ papers (Keh, 2015). Besides gaining a greater sense of audience at the student’s own level of development, the ability gained through this practice is also transferred when writing and revising their own paper (Lundstrom & Baker, 2009). Additionally, this practice makes students ability in writing gradually improved (Rollinson, 2005, Min, 2005).

Furthermore, written conference which was conducted personally after the students got written feedback from the teacher facilitates the students with a chance for having clarification and
standing up for their ideas (Gilliland, 2014). This session also mediate both student and teacher to negotiate the intended meaning of their own writing which at the end can give clearer clue for the student in making the revision. The successful negotiation during this activities lead the students to produce successful revision in their subsequent draft (L. Goldstein, 2017). Besides, the interactional activities during the feedback session create positive relationship between teacher and students without deviating from their instructional objectives (Shvidko, 2018). This activity also help the students to improve their knowledge and understanding on how to make their writing more effective.

The analysis on the result of students’ final draft also showed that students’ paragraph has well developed. All the students can formulate the topic sentence of their paragraph, develop the focus of the paragraph with sufficient supports and details, organize the ideas systematically, write different types of sentences and put connectors and punctuation appropriately. So it can be concluded that the application of process approach and the provision of feedback has effectively facilitate students’ learning and improved students’ understanding and performance in writing an effective paragraph.

**Students’ Response toward the Implementation of Process Approach and Teacher’s Feedback Provision**

The data showed that the students give positive and various responses towards the application of process approach and feedback in teaching writing. The result of interview revealed that most of the students considered the application of process approach and the provision of feedback in their writing class is very important since it can facilitate their learning difficulties and beneficial in organising their ideas and focusing the ideas in their paragraph.
Most of students, which were at the beginning - before the method applied – didn’t know how to write a good paragraph, how to specified and focused their paragraph, are now can write a better paragraph. As confessed by R#2 that this approach is very important in learning writing because it help me in finding, planning and generating ideas, specifying/focusing idea, and making my writing more organized. Another opinion was given by R#5. She said that this approach is very important for learning writing since it teach the students writing through some steps that make the process of writing easier. The similar opinion as confessed by R#2 and R#5 were also expressed by all the interviewee. This finding is also supported by data from documents analysis. One of the area improvement is the student can specified and focused his/her paragraph. It can be seen from the students’ paragraph which was written before, during the implementation of the approach, and after the provision of teacher’s feedback.

Before the implementation of process writing approach and feedback, most of students’ wrote paragraphs which did not have focus and many new ideas were only introduced without having detail explanation or supports. There was no topic sentence stated and there were also some ideas presented but lack of appropriate supports/details. As it is mentioned that a good paragraph should begin by making a point which is also known as topic sentence, and the point should be develop with appropriate supports/evidences (Raimes, Ann & Jerskey, 2011); (Yarber & Yarber, 2010). The similar result was also seen in most students’ paragraphs. Most of the students were used to write anything cross in their mind without planning what he is going to write.

However, there was a progress during the implementation of this approach and technique. The students became more responsive and had better understanding about the characteristics of a
good and effective paragraph and tried to apply it into their writing. Students started writing a
topic sentence in the beginning of their paragraphs and the points have supported with
appropriate details although it still need to reorganise. Most of students get better understanding
on how to start, generating, focusing, and organising their ideas in their paragraph writing.

The better improvement is seen the third draft which were written after the provision of
teacher’s feedback. Most students has started their paragraph by writing a clear topic sentence,
used various types of sentences; their paragraphs became more focused, well developed, flow
smoothly and all the ideas has been arranged systematically.

Although all students admitted the importance of process approach and feedback in learning
writing, they showed various responses toward the provision of teachers’ written feedback on
their draft. Some of them felt surprised as confessed by the following students:

StM : “I feel surprised seeing the notes on my draft. I did it
carefully and followed all the instruction in fact there were
still many parts need to be revised”
YnR: “It surprised me. I have checked it over before I submitted
my paper. I thought I have done all correctly.

While, other students said they felt sad and disappointed as admitted below:

PpT: “I feel a little sad. In fact I still did many mistakes in my
writing”
DNA: “I feel sad. I have given the maximum effort but in fact it
still need many revision”

LdY: “I disappointed to myself, I realized I need to learn more”

However, some others students mentioned that they felt challenged after receiving their draft from the teacher. As confessed by the following students:

YnT: “I feel like challenged. I have to do better and learn more”

DFF: “I realized the my writing was not good yet. So, I have to try hard and do better”

Referring to the easiest and the most difficult feedback to comprehend, most students expressed similar responses. Most students mentioned the suggestion and correction were the easiest feedback to comprehend since they just did revision based on the correction given or revised it by using clue or guidance from the teacher’s suggestion. While feedback in form of questions were considered difficult as students felt confused and did know how to make the revision. However, it was comprehended clearly after they had the written conference personally with the teacher.

Another importance finding referring to the students’ response toward the provision of teacher’s written feedback is the students concession which mentioned if the feedback in form of suggestion which were written right to the place where it need revision is very favourable for them since it guide them in making the appropriate revision. Although the students show various responses when seeing the teacher’s note, all students acknowledged that praise which were written in the beginning of teacher’s note makes the students happy and motivated because they hard work were appreciated.
Conclusion

The result of this study showed that the process writing approach and the provision of teachers’ feedback is potential to be applied in teaching writing. Most students considered the provision of feedback in process writing is very important since it can facilitate their learning difficulties, beneficial in organising their ideas and focusing their paragraph writing. The written conference which were accompanied the provision of teacher’s written feedback facilitates the students with a more clear understanding and clear guidance on how to revise and improve their writing. Furthermore, feedback in form of suggestion which were written right to the place where it need to be revised is very favourable for the students while praise which were written in the beginning of teacher’s note makes the students happy and motivated because they hard work were appreciated. Thus it is suggested for writing teacher to use the combination of this approach and technique in teaching writing, apply various learning activities, and give maximum effort and guidance to support students’ learning achievement.
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